

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,901	10/770,901 02/02/2004		Richard D. Ferdolage	UT02012004	1091	
31105	7590	05/24/2006		EXAMINER		
		PHILIP A STEINEI	CADUGAN, ERICA E			
	4251 SOUTH HIGUERA STREET SUITE 800-Z			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN LUI	S OBISPO,	CA 93401		3722		
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,901	FERDOLAGE, RICHA	RD D
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erica E. Cadugan	3722	
	Linda E. Oddagan		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	9SS —
_			
1. ☑ The amendment filed on 30 April 2006 under 37 CFR	1.312 has been considered, an	d has been:	
a) 🔲 entered.	•		
b)  entered as directed to matters of form not affection	ng the scope of the invention.		
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed a	after the payment of the issue f	ee.	
Any amendment filed after the date the issue		ed by a petition under 37 CFR 1.	313(c)(1)
and the required fee to withdraw the application	on from issue.	•	
d) ☑ disapproved. See explanation below.			
e)  entered in part. See explanation below.	C		
It is noted that the notice of allowance mailed April 3, 20 was sent with a formal Examiner's Amendment that may amendments set forth in that Examiner's Amendment (considered) allowed. Applicant's Rule 312 amendment, firstly, does but appears to be an amendment to the claims as they as though at least most of the changes that Applicant is allowance are the same as changes made in the Examindoes not incorporate *all* changes from the Examiner's abstract). From Applicant's comments, it appears as the condition for allowance. However, Examiner notes that by the notice of allowance and Examiner's Amendment	de many changes to both the conf 4/3/06) represent the most confidence in amendment appeared prior to the Examiner attempting to make to the claim iner's Amendment of April 3 (the Amendment, see at least claim ough the 312 amendment of 4/1 this is unnecessary, as the cast claim is unnecessary.	laims and the abstract. The urrent set of claims, i.e., the claims i.e., the claims it to the most current set of clair's Amendment. Secondly, it appears re a Rule 312 amendment aftough the 312 amendment of 4/30 and 23 and the change to t	ns as ns, ears er 0/06 he ise in
	•		
•			

Reponse to Rule 312 Communication

Erica E Cadugan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3722